SEASONAL AND SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF BIGHORN SHEEP AT AN OPEN PIT MINING SITE IN THE ALBERTA FOOTHILLS
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Abstract: Mining activity at Cardinal River Coals Ltd., an open pit mining site in the Alberta foothills, drastically changed the landscape from a closed canopy coniferous forest to open terrain consisting of reclaimed meadows in proximity to unreclaimed pit walls. A population of approximately 200 bighorn sheep which had been using the mine lease was studied during the year 1985-86. Ranges for the prerut, rut, winter and spring seasons were variously located on the lease area, as well as features such as lambing walls and mineral lick sites. High walls of exhausted pits were used for escape terrain and travel routes. Recommendations were made to the Alberta Land Conservation and Reclamation Council regarding maintenance of high walls in association with reclaimed meadows as integral components of bighorn sheep habitat. This site-specific wildlife study should be invaluable for mine planning and reclamation efforts.

In 1985, Cardinal River Coals Ltd. (CRC) initiated a population study of a herd of bighorn sheep (Ovis c. canadensis) that had been using their mine lease site for several years. CRC is an open pit coal mining operation that is situated in the foothills of west central Alberta approximately 50 km south of the town of Hinton. CRC began mining activities in 1969 and reclamation work in 1971. Disturbed areas of the mine lease are being reclaimed for wildlife habitat and recreation opportunity according to requirements specified in The Coal Development Policy for Alberta (Department of Energy and Natural Resources, 1976). Wildlife habitat was chosen as the end use because viable wildlife populations were present in the vicinity of the mining lease and reclamation costs were less than returning the disturbed land to timber production. Wallis and Wershler (1979) developed guidelines for reclaiming the CRC lease to bighorn sheep, mule deer, elk and moose habitat. Since that time, however, bighorn sheep have become the common species using the mine lease.

The purpose of this study was to obtain baseline data on the population of bighorn sheep of CRC in order to establish a long term monitoring program. The work was undertaken by the author as part of a Master's Degree Program at the Faculty of Environmental Design, University of Calgary during the year 1985-86. This paper discusses a portion of that work, concentrating on seasonal and spatial distribution of the bighorn sheep on the mining site and the implications this use has for reclamation procedures. Descriptive techniques will largely be used in the discussion of this work, because research is not quite finished.
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STUDY AREA AND POPULATION

The study area is defined by CRC's Mineral Surface Lease #5972 located in TWP 47 and 48, Rge 24, W of the 5th Meridian. As of December 31, 1986 a total of 43.9% or 1250 ha (3,088.6 acres) of the lease area had been disturbed, of which 504.3 ha (1,246.1 acres) were in some stage of reclamation (Acott et al. 1987). The mineral surface lease is bounded on the west side by Gregg River, the north by Mary Gregg Creek, the east by tributaries of Luscar Creek and on the south by the front ranges that encompass Whitehorse Creek. The mine is bisected by Hwy 40 (Figure 1).

In 1986, CRC moved 2,094,054 raw short tons of coal and 14,219,574 bank cubic yards of rock (Acott et al. 1987). They produced 1.64 million clean short tons of medium volatile metallurgical coal for export to Japan. Mining operations are carried out in two 12-h shifts, 7 days a week. The operation employs a truck/shovel technique to mine multiple open pits. Shovels with 15 and 30 cubic yard bucket capacity are employed in conjunction with 100 and 170 ton trucks.

The area has a Cordilleran climate characterized by cold winters and cool summers (Strong and Leggat, 1981). Meteorological data collected at the CRC lease for the years 1977-1987 indicate that the annual mean temperature is 2.4 C. The mean daily maximum is 8.0 C while the mean daily minimum is -3.2 C. The mean maximum temperature for the 11 years is 28.6 C while the mean minimum temperature for the same period is -35 C. The area receives an average of 744 mm of precipitation annually, 69.8% of which falls between May and September.

The study area is classified as Subalpine (Corms and Annas, 1986) and is typified by rolling topography and steep slopes of uplifted Mesozoic shales and sandstones. Elevation of the mine ranges from 1680 to 1860 m a.s.l. (5512 - 6102 feet) (Acott, 1981). Prior to mining, the study area was almost entirely forested with a closed canopy spruce/fir forest. Forest areas are dominated by hybrid spruce (Picea glauca x engelmannii), lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta), fir (Abies lasiocarpa) and black spruce (Picea mariana). Aspen (Populus tremuloides) occurs on exposed, warm, south-facing slopes. Most timber within the lease area is non-merchantable (<15.2 m (50 ft) high, <20.3 cm (8 in) dbh, <4 per ha (10 per acre). Soils of the study area are generally orthic gray luvisols on fine textured materials or eluviated brunisol on coarser textured parent materials.

The mining sequence involves site preparation by first salvaging or removing the timber resource. The topsoil and upper regolith layers are then salvaged and stockpiled for use later in the reclamation program. Finally, overburden is removed from the pit and used to backfill an
Figure 1. Location of pits, dumps and backfills at Cardinal River Coals Ltd., 1986.
existing pit or dumped externally onto an area where no previous mining activity has occurred.

Following the mining activities, the dumped or backfilled overburden is graded and recontoured to a slope angle of 27° or less. Regolith is placed over the entire surface to a depth of 15 cm (5.9 in), and topsoil islands are placed in favourable locations. The whole area is then seeded and fertilized and finally reforested in appropriate locations. Figure 1 illustrates the location of the various pits, dumps and backfills that have been developed at CRC.

Revegetation is carried out using agronomic species in roughly a 50-50 grass/legume seed mixture. Grasses used are a combination of sod-forming and bunchgrasses - streambank wheatgrass (Agropyron repatum), smooth brome (Bromus inermis), red fescue (Festuca rubra), Canada bluegrass (Poa compressa), Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis), crested wheatgrass (Agropyron pectiniforme), orchard grass (Dactylis glomerata), Russian wild ryegrass (Elymus junceus), tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea), hard fescue (Festuca ovina), and timothy (Phleum pratense). Legumes used are cicer milkvetch (Astragalus cicer), rambler alfalfa (Medicago media), sweet clover (Melilotus spp.), sainfoin (Onobrychis viciifolia) and alsike clover (Trifolium hybridum).

Approximately 200 bighorn sheep currently use the lease site from late summer to late spring. The sheep use reclaimed areas for foraging and the high walls of exhausted pits for escape terrain and travel routes. Sheep travel over non-reclaimed overburden and through active mining sites to gain access to forage areas. Some reclaimed areas located at distance from high walls are not used for forage, while some pits surrounded by coniferous forest or non-reclaimed overburden are not used for travel or escape.

A limited non-troupe sheep hunt was held in the fall of 1984, 1985 and 1987 on a reclaimed portion of the lease area. In addition, the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division uses this site for capturing sheep for various purposes. A total of 84 sheep have been removed by hunting or other methods over the 4 year period ranging from 1984 - 1987. Eighty-two percent of these animals have been mature females.

Prior to the current mine development, rams were known to use old mine workings in the vicinity of the 50-A-3 pit for mineral licks. Surveys conducted by the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division (Lynch 1972, Cook et al. 1978, Cook 1982) indicate that sheep were sighted on alpine ranges adjacent to the mine lease as well as on the 50-A-2 backfill. By 1979, large numbers of sheep of all age classes were frequenting reclaimed areas on the mine lease adjacent to timberline and were using the reclaimed south-facing slopes above Hwy 40 (G. Acott, CRC, pers. commun.).

METHODS

Animal observations in the field were made by direct ground counts from a fixed census route. Counts were begun September 17, 1985 and continued weekly until September 1, 1986. During each count, the location of each individual or group of sheep was marked on acetate overlays on a
1:4,800 base map. In addition to plotting locations, notes were made on
the age class and activity of each individual within the group. Eight age
classes described by Geist (1971:54) were identified. They were: lambs,
yearling females, adult females, yearling rams, class I (2 yr) rams, class
II (3-5 yr) rams, class III (6-7 yr) rams and class IV (8+ yr) rams. A
total of 11,933 individual sightings from 138 census trips provided the
data base for describing seasonal and spatial distribution of the sheep.
Maximum counts of each age class were used to estimate population size for
use in depicting seasonal fluctuations of the sheep herd on the lease.

Field data were computerized by coding and digitizing observation
locations and by generating a corresponding database containing the
numbers, age classes and activities of sheep associated with each
location. Maps of data subsets and their locations that depict the
cumulative frequency of observations per time period were then generated
using the "nmap" program developed by the graphics laboratory at the
Faculty of Environmental Design. A grid system with a cell size of 402 x
402 m (1320 x 1320 ft) or 16.2 ha (40 acres) was overlaid on the study
area to assist in quantitative and visual analysis of the data. Cell size
was arbitrarily selected. Data from the eight age classes were groups
into 2 categories - nursery herd (lambs, ewes, female and male yearlings
and class I rams) and older rams (class II, III and IV rams). Class I
rams were associated both with the nursery herd and the older rams;
however they were placed with the nursery herd for purposes of displaying
and analyzing spatial distribution.

RESULTS

Seasonal Distribution

Four major movements were observed for the rams that used the CRC
lease. These movements focussed on the following events:

Prerut or fall congregation.—Rams had already congregated on the
lease by the time the study was initiated on September 17, 1985. During
this period, they were largely segregated from the nursery herd. They
occupied portions of the C-baseline and the Gregg dump bench above HWY 40.
In early November, most rams moved onto the rutting area, which was also
the ewe prerut range.

Rut.—This was defined as the period when rams and estrous ewes were
observed. Large rams from off the lease began to be sighted on the
The first successful copulation was observed November 20, 1985, and the
last was observed January 18, 1986. The peak of rutting activity occurred
during the second week of December, 1985.

Winter.—This is the period when the rams separated from the ewes
leaving them on the rutting range. The rams occupied a native south-
facingslope that is centrally located on the lease. The rams alternately
used this slope and the south-facing slopes above HWY 40 until they began
to leave the lease in late May. These latter slopes were also used by the
nursery herd as alternate winter range.
Summer.--This is the period when no rams were present on the lease except the occasional individual or small group. Groups of rams were sighted during the summer in alpine areas, travelling along the mountain ranges.

Four major movements were also observed for the nursery herd that occupied the CRC lease:

Prerut, rut and early winter.--The nursery herd had already congregated on the lease when the study began in September, 1985. In early fall they used the slopes above HWY 40 and the Gregg dump bench in addition to the rutting range located closer to timberline. Some emigration by ewes was observed during this time. During the rut the ewes were concentrated entirely on the rutting range. After the rut, the prerut range (with the exception of the Gregg dump bench) was used as early winter range.

Late winter/spring.--This period was characterized by half of the nursery herd leaving the lease to winter elsewhere. This reduction in numbers was observed over two years. Ewes remaining on the lease continued to use the same areas frequented during the fall and early winter, however, 2/3 of the observations were made on the rutting range.

Lambling.--This period was defined when the first new lambs of the year were sighted (May 28 in 1986 and May 24 in 1987). This period also included the time when ewes focussed their activity around salt availability. Most lambing occurred off the lease; however, in May 1986, 6 ewes lambed on the east wall of the 51-B-2 pit. In May of 1987, 5 ewes were observed to lamb on this same wall. The nursery herd regrouped in alpine meadows immediately southwest of the lease, but during the remainder of June, ewes made daily trips to the 50-A-3 pit. The many seeps on the walls of this pit were used as a mineral lick. No grazing on the reclaimed areas was done by the ewes at this time as they returned daily to the higher alpine meadows.

Summer.--During this period the nursery herd did not frequent the lease. Two ewe groups used the drainages southwest of the lease. These two groups represented only a portion of the nursery herd that congregates on the lease in the late summer. As the summer progressed, these two nursery groups moved higher into the headwaters of the drainages. By mid August, ewes began the prerut congregation on the lease.

When the above movements for both ewes and rams were combined, 6 activity periods were identified. These time periods were as follows:

Prerut    - September 17 to November 14, 1985
Rut       - November 15 to January 18, 1986
Winter    - January 19 to February 14, 1986
Spring    - February 15 to May 27, 1986
Lambling  - May 28 to June 30, 1986
Summer    - July 1 to August 10, 1986

Of the 11,933 individual sheep observations made between September 17, 1985 and August 10, 1986, 73% were lambs, ewes or yearlings of either
sex, 5% were of class I rams and 22% of older rams (Table 1). Sheep were concentrated on the lease from the prerut through to the beginning of lambing season, a period of 256+ days for the 1985/86 season (Figure 2). The prerut period for the 1986/87 season began August 11 when the first large numbers of ewes, lambs, yearlings and class I rams began to appear on the lease. Older rams (class II, III and IV) did not congregate in large numbers for the 1986/87 prerut season until a few weeks later, in early September.

Table 1. Number of observations of bighorn sheep by class at Cardinal River Coals Ltd. for the year 1985-86.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season (# counts)</th>
<th>Lambs, ewes and yearlings</th>
<th>Ram I (3%)</th>
<th>Ram II, III, IV (17%)</th>
<th>Total (26%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prerut (23)</td>
<td>2435 (80%)</td>
<td>96 (3%)</td>
<td>506 (17%)</td>
<td>3037 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rut (26)</td>
<td>2421 (74%)</td>
<td>179 (6%)</td>
<td>651 (20%)</td>
<td>3251 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (14)</td>
<td>1370 (74%)</td>
<td>109 (6%)</td>
<td>364 (20%)</td>
<td>1843 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring (38)</td>
<td>1835 (63%)</td>
<td>191 (7%)</td>
<td>880 (30%)</td>
<td>2906 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambing (20)</td>
<td>466 (72%)</td>
<td>27 (4%)</td>
<td>151 (24%)</td>
<td>644 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (17)</td>
<td>214 (84%)</td>
<td>7 (3%)</td>
<td>32 (13%)</td>
<td>252 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year (138)</td>
<td>8740 (73%)</td>
<td>609 (5%)</td>
<td>2584 (22%)</td>
<td>11933 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spatial Distribution

Approximately 1/3 of the observations for the nursery herd for the year 1985/86 were made within 32.4 ha (80 acres) of the 50-A-1 and 50-A-2 backfill (Figure 3). Another 1/3 of observations were made within an additional 32.4 ha of the 50-A-2 backfill and within 48.6 ha (120 acres) of the south slopes and valley bottom adjacent to HWY 40. The last 1/3 were scattered over 696 ha (1720 acres) of the lease. The total area used by the nursery herd for grazing, security, mineral licks or travelling within the lease boundaries was 809.4 ha (2000 acres).

Observations of the older rams indicated that 1/3 of these animals were sighted within 32.4 ha of the 50-A-1 and 50-A-2 backfill, plus a 16.2 ha block of the native hill and a 16.2 ha block of the south slopes above HWY 40 (Figure 4). The next 1/3 of observations were scattered over 113.3 ha (280 acres) located on the 50-A-2 backfill, on the slopes adjacent to HWY 40, and on a topsoil stockpile located on the C-baseline ridge. The last 1/3 of observations were located on the C-baseline ridge. The last
Figure 2. Seasonal use of the Cardinal River Ovals Ltd. mining site by bishop sheep from September 15, 1986 to September 19, 1986.

- Peak rutting activity
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Figure 3. Intensity of use by lambs, ewes, yearlings and class I rams from September 17, 1985 to August 10, 1986.
Figure 4. Intensity of use by class II, III and IV rams from September 17, 1965 to August 10, 1966.
1/3 of observations were located on 696 ha of the lease. The total area used by the older rams was 874 ha (2160 acres).

From the above description it is apparent that the most important area used by the sheep is focussed on the 50-A-1 and 50-A-2 backfill. This area was reclaimed in 1977, 1978 and 1979 and is used for grazing by the nursery herd during the prerut, rut, winter and spring seasons. Rams congregated here during the rut (Figure 5) during which time the high walls of the adjacent 50-A-3 pit were used heavily by ewes escaping rams and by rams attending receptive females. The benched walls of this pit were used as escape sites, bedding areas, and in May and June the seeps from the walls were used as mineral licks by the nursery group (Figure 6) that used the Luscar Creek valley immediately west of the lease. This daily movement was a response to the need for minerals as virtually no grazing took place on the mine at this season.

The sheep also made moderate to heavy use of the native grassed slopes of the large hill that is centrally located on the mine lease. This south-facing slope was used primarily by rams during the winter and spring period when they had segregated from the nursery herd (Figure 5). Heaviest use of this hill was on the NW corner which lies adjacent to the 50-B-3 benched pit wall. This slope and pit wall were used by the nursery herd chiefly as a travel route connecting the 50-A-2 backfill with the HWY 40 area.

The nursery herd used the south-facing slopes and valley bottoms adjacent to HWY 40 heavily in the prerut and spring seasons, while the rams used this area heavily in the winter and spring. This area was seeded in 1976. The east wall of the nearby 51-B-2 pit was used as escape terrain by the sheep when they frequented this area. In May 1985 and May 1986, 6 and 5 ewes, respectively, lambed on this wall. In 1986, these lambing sites were within a few hundred metres of an active dump site. Most lambing, however, took place off the lease.

Moderate use of the large bench and slopes of a portion of the Gregg dump was made by the nursery herd during the prerut (Figure 6). This part of the Gregg dump was reclaimed in 1972 and 1979/80.

Rams also used a topsoil dump located on the C-baseline (Figure 4). This area received heavy use during the prerut. A powerline located on the C-baseline was reclaimed in 1978 while the topsoil island was reclaimed in 1983. Rams have been observed on the C-baseline by mine personnel since 1970.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Mining activity at CRC has resulted in a drastically changed landscape. The closed canopy coniferous forest of the central portion of the lease has been replaced by open terrain composed of reclaimed areas, active and abandoned pits and non-vegetated regolith material. Inter-spersed throughout are patches of the original coniferous forest and native grasslands. The common feature of bighorn distribution, (the presence of rocky escape terrain in proximity to quality forage) has been reproduced through the process of mining development and reclamation.
Figure 5. Seasonal use by class II, III, and IV rams of Cardinal River Coals Ltd. from September 17, 1985 to August 10, 1986.  
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Figure 6. Seasonal use by lambs, ewes, yearlings and class I rams of Cardinal River Coals Ltd. from September 17, 1985 to August 10, 1986. ■ = heavy use; □ = moderate use; ◆◆◆◆ = light use.
Every area on the lease used heavily by the sheep for foraging was adjacent to high walls of exhausted pits. These high walls served as escape terrain for the sheep, as bedding sites, as travel routes, and as sources for mineral licks. Some lambing occurred on benches of the high walls. Seventy-five percent of all sheep observations for the year 1985/86 occurred within 360 m (1181 feet) of escape terrain.

In addition to creating a usable habitat for bighorn sheep, other conditions that exist within the mine lease created a secure environment which was used opportunistically by the sheep. Coyotes were observed frequently on the sheep ranges, however, no predation was observed. Grizzlies travelled through the mine seasonally, but did not linger on the reclaimed areas. Wolf tracks were observed on the peripheral edges of the lease but never in the central portion or on sheep ranges. Cougar sign was not observed. It is thought that while the sheep were on the lease, mortality from predators was minimal.

CRC has been active an coal mining operation since 1969. During the 1985/86 season, 2 pits were active (51-B-3 and 50-B-5). Dumping, grading, regolith and topsoil placement, reseeding and refortifying activities all took place in areas utilized by the sheep. For the most part, however, these activities occurred in a predictable fashion, or in areas not yet used for forage, or in the summer when there was minimal use of the lease by the sheep. Once a reclaimed area was established on the lease, little activity actually took place on the site. There were no tourists, trail bikes, hikers or skiers. Hunters have been active only recently on the same ranges that are important to the sheep. This hunt is very controlled and represents less harassment than what occurs during the hunting season outside the mine site where both trophy and non-trophy sheep are legal.

Bighorn sheep are modern ice-age mammals that have developed a high capacity for learning. Bighorns historically used the areas adjacent to the CRC lease prior to its development. Once a usable habitat was developed by mining activity, the sheep were well equipped to take advantage of the predictable, secure environment offered by the mining site.

A significant population of bighorn sheep has developed seasonal and spatial use patterns on the CRC lease. Several factors must be considered and explored further to ensure that this use is sustained in the long term to be of benefit to other developments with similar potential. The significance of the high walls as part of bighorn habitat was not recognized by the Alberta Land Conservation and Reclamation Council (ALCRC) until this study was conducted. Recommendations on maintaining high walls of significance to sheep have been made (Acott, 1986) to the ALCRC and were accepted. Further recommendations on the wall characteristics and placement in relation to foraging areas will be forthcoming. Future land management must recognize that sheep use of this site in part depends on a secure and predictable environment. Reclamation efforts designed specifically for human recreation activities may not be compatible with sheep use of the area if they are poorly placed in relation to habitat developed for sheep. Each sheep population and mine operation have their own characteristics. This site-specific wildlife study has proven to be invaluable for mine planning and reclamation efforts.
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